



# COUNTER TERRORISM OPERATIONS CENTER

Knowledge • Vigilance • Security

---

March 12, 2011

Recently, the Washington Monthly published an article by two leftist liberal reporters attacking counter terrorism training efforts in the United States. The article is a testament of how liberal leftist reporters twist the truth to suit their agendas. It is very evident that these reporters' true motive is to attack law enforcement and counter terrorism efforts in the United States. They purposely hand selected a few statements without context to slander law enforcement trainers and the law enforcement community.

It is apparent from their twisted reporting that no matter what information or facts were presented to them, their true motive was to falsely paint law enforcement efforts as anti-Muslim and discriminating. For example, my statement regarding Muhammad followed a four hour instruction block detailing facts from the Qur'an (<http://www.thereligionofpeace.com/Quran/023-violence.htm>), the Hadith (<http://www.peacewithrealism.org/jihad/jihad03.htm>) and Muhammad's biography (<http://www.faithfreedom.org/Articles/sira/18.htm>) and (<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aisha>) to substantiate the statement. They did not report on these facts. My statement about Muslims lying is once again taken out of context. I explained the Muslim theological concepts of Taqiyya (<http://www.answering-islam.org/Index/T/taqiyya.html>) and Kitman (<http://www.answering-islam.org/Index/L/lying.html>) which clearly provide Muslims the right to lie to non-Muslims to protect Islam and Muslims. The statement regarding Muslims lying was addressed in the context of those Muslims who lie about true Islamic theology to non-Muslims and not a blanket statement regarding all Muslims as the reporters chose to portray.

They again twisted facts when quoting my statement regarding IDs with Arabic Names. I detailed for two hours how Arabs can purposely create multiple identities since their naming conventions are different than ours. The officers were trained on how to detect these name disguising techniques. My comments regarding finger printing were targeted towards cases where there is clear evidence of identity fraud and was not a blanket statement towards all Arabs as the reporters elected to portray.

Lastly, legal harassment is a tactic that has been used successfully by law enforcement agencies for decades to combat gangs and narcotics. It is a proven and effective tactic and more importantly, is perfectly legal. I suggested the use of this tactic with radical Muslim populations in a manner that is no different than dealing with gangs or drug dealers.

I carefully distinguish and explain the words Islam and Muslims. Islam is a theological doctrine that contains violence; however, I stated many times that not all Muslims are radical. The reporters chose not to report on this distinction because it does not fit into their agenda.

The reporters slanted and distorted information in order to attack law enforcement. This report is a testament to the sad state of affairs of leftist media who will use any means to attack their opponents on the right. The law enforcement community must be doing something right since we have not been attacked in the past 9 ½ years with the exception of Major Hassan Nidal at Fort Hood. We prevented over twelve major Jihadist attacks and have arrested hundreds of Jihadists and will continue to do so. The reporters paint law enforcement as discriminating against Muslims but where is the evidence? The Center for Security Policy released a study, *Religious Bias Crimes against Muslim, Jewish and Christian Victims: American Trends from 2000-2009*, (<http://www.centerforsecuritypolicy.org/p18663.xml>) based on statistics reported by the FBI. The study contradicts the false assertions that hate crimes against Muslims have increased, and that the alleged cause is widespread "Islamophobia" in America. In fact, the study shows that hate



## COUNTER TERRORISM OPERATIONS CENTER

**Knowledge • Vigilance • Security**

crimes against Muslim Americans, measured by the categories of incidents, offenses or victims, have remained relatively low with a downward trend since 2001. Furthermore, the Patriot Act was enacted in October 2001 and since then there isn't any documented cases showing abuse of power granted by the Patriot Act.

The reporters also ignored the recent report on radical Muslim activities in the United States "Shariah – the Threat To America"

[http://www.familysecuritymatters.org/docLib/20100915\\_Shariah-TheThreattoAmerica.pdf](http://www.familysecuritymatters.org/docLib/20100915_Shariah-TheThreattoAmerica.pdf)

The report clearly indicates the domestic threat but this was not reported on since it did fit their premeditated agenda.

I am left wondering who is more dangerous, the terrorists themselves or leftist liberal reporters. Reporters like Craze and Stalcup who resort to unethical journalism and biased research to fulfill their personal agendas. It is very clear that the real objective of this report is to portray the false image that American Law Enforcement is not qualified to prevent terrorist activities and to promote the false idea that Law Enforcement efforts are anti-Muslim and discriminatory. This is a direct attack by leftist liberals to undermine American counter terrorism efforts.

Another good rebuttal for the article can be found at this link -

[http://petermoskos.com/copinthehood/Washington\\_Monthly\\_Rebuttal.pdf](http://petermoskos.com/copinthehood/Washington_Monthly_Rebuttal.pdf)